

Complaint form

Using this form

Please use this form if you wish to make a complaint about the police or other organisation covered by the police complaints system.

Fields marked with an * are mandatory.

Accessibility

If it is difficult for you to use this form or this service – for example, if English is not your first language or you have a disability – please contact us:

Telephone: 0300 020 0096

Email: enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk

If you require any adjustments to support you through the complaints system, please outline these below. For example, if you have a visual impairment, you may require the police or other organisation to provide written responses in larger text.

What happens to the information in my complaint form?

We have a legal requirement to pass the details of your complaint to the relevant police force. Please note, all the contents of this form (including your equality and diversity information) will be passed to the relevant police force for them to record.

If you have any concerns about your information being passed to the police or you require further information about how your data will be handled, please call us on 0300 020 0096.

For information about how we handle your personal information, please read our privacy notice at: www.policeconduct.gov.uk/privacynotice

Where to send my complaint form

This form should be completed and sent to the appropriate police force/other organisation. Alternatively, you can send the form to the address below and we will pass it on to the appropriate force.

Email: enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk

Mail: IOPC, PO BOX 473, Sale M33 0BW

Section 1 - About you

***Title:** Mr.

***First name(s):** Geza

***Last name(s):** Tarjanyi

Date of birth: 31st January, 1961

Please provide at least one form of contact below.

Address: 12 Boundary Street, Leyland, Lancashire, PR25 4ST

Email: gezadj@hotmail.com

Telephone: 07857061095

Preferred method of contact: Email: gezadj@hotmail.com

Are you making the complaint for someone else? *If the answer is no, you do not need to complete Section 2.* **NO**

Section 2 – Details of person on whose behalf you are making a complaint

Do not complete this section if you are making a complaint on your own behalf.
If you are making this complaint on behalf of someone else, you must have permission from that person.

:

Section 3 – Complaint details

***Which police force/organisation is your complaint about?** METROPOLITAN POLICE

When did it happen? (If known): 11th January 2021

What time did it happen? (If known): About 13.00 hrs.

Where did it happen? This information will help the police identify the correct incident. Please provide the address of the incident (if known) or as much detail as possible to allow identification of the location e.g. name of the road or landmarks nearby.

Outside Scotland Yard, Victoria Embankment, London. SW1A 2JL

Incident reference number (if you have one. An incident reference number may have been provided to you if police attended an incident you were involved in – this field is not mandatory.)

- **Incident reference number:** CIN-17141-21-0100-000
- **URN:** 01BX/00057/21
- **Custody Number:** 01CX/00211/21
- **Arrest Summons Number:** 2101CX0100000000211C

*** What is your complaint about?** Please consider using the following details to describe your complaint: what your complaint is about, who was involved, if there was any damage or injury, what was said and done.

This is my brief account of when I was arrested unnecessarily and, I believe, unlawfully by the Metropolitan Police on 11th January 2021 and was detained without good cause.

At the time of arrest I was in possession of substantial and very credible evidence that criminal offences including perverting the course of justice and unlawful arrests and assaults had been committed against members of the public.

Having compiled a mass of evidence I received no assistance from police previously. Police typically are responsible for maintaining public order and safety, enforcing the law, and preventing, detecting, and investigating criminal activities, so I was making my way to serve a notice and my evidence on senior police officers on duty in London that day. This was my only available alternative to have my report of crime recorded.

The Home Office Counting Rules (HOCR) require that “all reports of incidents, whether from victims, witnesses or third parties and **whether crime related or not**, will result in the

registration of an incident report by the police". It goes on to specify that **these must be recorded** on an auditable system, which in practice means:

- an incident log (sometimes referred to as a command and control log); and/or,
- a record on the force crime system.

The Home Office Counting Rules (HOCR) goes on to stipulate:

"An incident will be recorded as a crime (notifiable offence)

1. For offences against an identified victim if, on the **balance of probability**:
 - a. The circumstances as reported amount to a crime defined by law (the police will determine this, based on their knowledge of the law and counting rules), and
 - b. There is no credible evidence to the contrary.
2. For offences against the state the points to prove to evidence the offence must clearly be made out, before a crime is recorded."

Because the rules place an obligation on the police to accept what the victim says unless there is "credible evidence to the contrary", the following reasons are not enough to justify not recording a crime:

- the victim declines to give personal details;
- the victim doesn't want to take the matter further; or
- the allegation can't be proven.

Circumstances:

About 13.00 hrs. on 11th January 2021 I was walking towards Scotland Yard, Victoria Embankment, London, SW1A 2JL on my way to serve a written request (GT/1) for the attention of Gold Command (senior police officers).

I was stopped by two Metropolitan Police officers and asked my name and what I was doing. I explained I was on my way to make a delivery to 39 Victoria Street as well as to deliver documents in my possession; after checking me and some short dialogue they allowed me to continue on my way when a third police officer stepped up and asked me who I was.

It was obvious he recognised me. I have been active in the pen at Downing Street lawfully protesting about certain events I feel are taking place unlawfully. In addition, I have been arrested 10 times in the last year all of which I believe are unlawful. It seems that, as a high profile figure, I have been unfairly targeted by over enthusiastic police officers. This now amounts to harassment bordering on the criminal.

This aside, on this particular day, I was going about my lawful business. I was not demonstrating. I was walking alone with the sole purpose of delivering my formal complaint of crimes to the police. The fact I was behaving lawfully is proven by the fact that the two police officers who stopped me allowed me to continue after a brief conversation with them.

Before I was able to leave, the third police officer (I think an inspector) recognised me and stepped in as I was leaving the other two officers. This third police officer then arrested me; allegedly for **“Intentionally encourage/assist the commission of an either way offence – Serious Crimes Act 2007”**

I was taken to Charring Cross custody centre where I was later interviewed by two detectives.

The Serious Crimes Act 2007 is primarily aimed at very serious crimes which include Drug Trafficking, People trafficking, Slavery, Terrorism, Firearms, Prostitution, Child Sex, Armed Robbery, Money Laundering, Fraud and Organised Crime.

It is certainly wholly inappropriate to have arrested me walking alone to report a crime, especially having already been checked and cleared by two police officers at the time!

This was clearly a vexatious arrest linked to previous knowledge of me and certainly not linked to the actions I was engaged in at that time or was about to be engaged in.

As such I claim this was an unlawful arrest carried out as a vindictive act against me, a person who the police had not been able previously to convict in relation to any serious offences.

I was interviewed by two detectives at the police station. They did not seem to think the matter was serious and most of the interview was friendly and of a jovial nature.

I had in my possession at the time of arrest, documents of the evidence I was trying to have recorded as a crime including GT/1 Notice to legal Defence of the Government (a); GT/2 Notice to legal Defence of the Government (b); GT/3 MRHA advertisement for Artificial Intelligence for ADRs; GT/4 Medical Report Geza Tarjany; GT/5 Open letter to Mr Johnson; GT/6 My reasonable request to Gold Command document.

I also had a **memory stick** with all my evidence recoded that I was attempting to report to the police when I was arrested. This memory stick (GT/7) contains crucial evidence and therefore forms the mainstay of my defence against this arrest/charge; it is proof absolute together with the documentation I had with me, that I was on my way to report crime and not committing any offence or nuisance whatsoever.

3. [The Charging Process](https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/charging-directors-guidance-sixth-edition-december-2020)

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/charging-directors-guidance-sixth-edition-december-2020>

4.1 A police decision maker must review the available evidence, disclosable material, and any other relevant material or information, and assess whether there is sufficient evidence to meet the appropriate Code Test (Full Code or Threshold).

4.2 If the police decision maker considers that there is sufficient evidence to pass the evidential stage, and prosecution is in the public interest, the appropriate Code Test will be met, and the case can proceed to a charging decision.

Where the suspect is detained in police custody and the appropriate Test is met the police decision maker will inform the **custody officer who will be responsible** for deciding whether a suspect in custody should be charged;

In my circumstance it was a constable who authorised both charges, as clearly typed on the charge sheets GT/8 Form MG4D (a) (Covid regulations) and GT/9 Form MG4D (b) (Criminal Damage)

This does not comply with Charging Rules, as clearly laid out by the Government and Crown Prosecution Service (CPS)

Both MG4D Charge sheets GT/8 and GT/9 clearly state that the charge was authorised by Constable Rebecca Gordon

Charging (The Director's Guidance) - sixth edition, December 2020

<https://www.cps.gov.uk/legal-guidance/charging-directors-guidance-sixth-edition-december-2020>

Both MG4D Charge sheets GT/8 and GT/9 clearly show that the Custody Officer was Police Sergeant P229889 JONES. According to Charging (The Director's Guidance) Sergeant P229889 JONES, as **custody officer**, should have been the officer to authorise the charge, not constable Rebecca Gordon.

Furthermore, the police did not check all the evidence in my possession all the time I was in custody despite my asking them to. Had they checked the evidence (paperwork and memory stick) it would have been quickly evident that my account of my actions was truthful and I neither had nor was about to, commit any offence; it would have shown my actions were totally lawful and the arrest unnecessary.

Due to failure to properly investigate the evidence I attempted to present, I was unnecessarily arrested, detained and severely inconvenienced.

To summarise points of my complaint:

- Vexatious and unjustifiable arrest
- I later received two different charges, arriving in the post the same day. There are two different charges shown, one on each charge sheet, and neither are the original alleged offence for which I was arrested: Neither charge seems to relate to any alleged offence against me for which I was arrested. I was arrested under **Serious Crimes Act 2007 allegedly for intentionally encourage/assist the commission of an either way offence. Subsequent charges relate to** MG4D Charge sheets GT/8 and GT/9

1. MG4D Charge sheet GT/8 **Breach of Covid regulations**

2. MG4D Charge sheet GT/9 **Charge of criminal damage** on another charge sheet.

- Both Charges are recorded as authorised by a constable, not the custody sergeant
- For a charge to be followed up under the Serious Crime Act 2007 ultimate permission and authorisation to proceed is necessary to be obtained directly from The **Home Office**, not a constable. It seems that the alternative two charges have been quickly substituted because it is obvious there was no breach of the **Serious Crimes Act 2007**. This again suggests a vexatious arrest.
- Furthermore, there was no other person(s) present with me so it would be impossible for me to **encourage/assist the commission of any offence**.
- The charge of Criminal Damage has no substance whatsoever and is **built on no evidence**. I had committed no damage nor is there any evidence to suggest I was about to commit criminal damage – in fact, had there been any evidence to suggest this, that would have been the reason for the arrest and not the Serious Crimes Act 2007 which was a wholly inappropriate use of police powers.
- Had I been in breach of Covid Regulations or been in any way a position to incite or encourage anyone to commit any offence, I am sure the two police officers who stopped me originally would have recognised such circumstances and not allowed me to proceed. For a third officer to then arrest me for a serious crime as soon as he recognised me was an abuse of his police powers. He would have seen there was no one else present for me to incite and that I had nothing on me by which means I could have committed criminal damage.
- Had he taken the trouble to check with the other two officers and also check the paperwork I was carrying at the time it would have been obvious to him, as it already was to the other two officers, that I was delivering paperwork and not in the process of or about to commit any offence.
- **I received no record of custody when bailed**
- **I received no copy of interview upon request or when bailed**
- **My mobile phone and memory stick** was retained by the police without checking the contents which would have proven my innocence.

Exhibit List:

GT/1 Notice to legal Defence of the Government (a)
GT/2 Notice to legal Defence of the Government (b)
GT/3 MRHA advertisement for Artificial Intelligence for ADRs
GT/4 Medical Report Geza Tarjany
GT/5 Open letter to Mr Johnson
GT/6 My reasonable request to Gold Command document

GT/7 Memory Stick of all evidential documentation
GT/8 Form MG4D (a) (Covid regulations)
GT/9 Form MG4D (b) (Criminal Damage)
GT/10 Police Advisory Notice
GT/11 Notice of Changes to Hearing

This will not be your only opportunity to explain what happened. The police force or other organisation will contact you about your complaint and ask for more details.

***What would you like to happen as a result of your complaint? Please add an 'X' next to any options that apply.**

The police force or other organisation to learn from the incident **X**

The individual officers or staff involved to learn from the incident **X**

Individuals or other organisations involved to be criminally prosecuted **X**

Individuals involved to face disciplinary procedures **X**

Gesture of goodwill from the police force or other organisation

Police force or other organisation to apologise/acknowledge something went wrong **X**

Explanation from the police force or other organisation

Police force to **return property MOBILE PHONE and MEMORY STICK** containing the evidence of the crimes I was attempting to report to the police. **X**

Removal of information from police or other organisation systems **X**

Police or other organisations to review policy/procedure **X**

Don't know

Other (please state below) **X**

- a) Provide me with a copy of the **custody record** **X**
- b) Provide me with a copy of the **body camera footage** of all three officers at the time of my arrest which will provide the evidence that proves my arrest was unnecessary. **X**
- c) Provide me with a copy of the **custody suite CCTV footage** which will not only show I was attempting to report criminal offences but also may prove that the charges were wrongly authorised and attributed. **X**
- d) Provide me with a copy of the **taped interview** unedited (including the metadata) files as this forms a large part of my evidence of the crimes I wish to report **X**
- e) **Compensate** me for my time spent in custody as a result of an unlawful arrest

Do you believe the incident you are complaining about involved discrimination? Discrimination refers to being treated differently because of who you are or because you possess certain characteristics. Please add an 'X' next to your answer.

Yes, simply because I am a well-known activist, who I stress always acts lawfully; I have been consistently targeted by the police in an effort to prevent my freedom of speech. It is blatantly apparent on this occasion the arresting officer recognised me and arrested me on a whim in order to further inconvenience and discredit me. I was told I was free to continue by the initial two officers who checked me out but arrested by a third officer who clearly

recognised me. This appears to be a personal issue that particular officer has rather than a matter of doing his lawful duty.

I was arrested for who I am not for what I was doing. This is again evidenced by the fact that there are two differing charges on two different charge sheets; these do not relate to anything I was doing or the original offence for which I was arrested. The fact that two charges of very differing nature are levelled at me suggests that the police are hedging their bets in order to make anything stick and thus justify an arrest that would otherwise prove to be unlawful.

If you believe discrimination was involved in the incident you are complaining about, please mark one or more of the characteristics involved with an 'X'.

Age

Disability

Gender / Gender reassignment

Race

Religion and belief

Sexual orientation

Other (please state below) **X**

Personal issue: The officer arrested me as soon as he knew who I was and not for any suspicion of crime (borne out later when the two different charges were added and changed from the original allegation). This can only be explained as a personal issue that the arresting officer has with me from his previous knowledge of me.

Is your complaint related to the COVID-19 pandemic?

You are not required to answer this question, but it will help us try to understand the impact of the current COVID-19 pandemic on policing and public interactions with police. This can help us identify patterns in complaints and work with police forces to address recurring issues. Please add an 'X' next to your answer.

~~Yes, my complaint is all about the policing of COVID-19~~

Yes, parts of my complaint relate to COVID-19 **X**

~~No, my complaint is not related to COVID-19~~

~~I don't know~~

Section 4 – Details of the police officer/staff member that you are complaining about

Do you know any details about the police officers/police staff involved in your complaint? *Please note, when you are contacted about your complaint you will be given further opportunity to describe any officer/staff member.*

Yes **X**

Arresting Officer: Name Unknown

Officer in the Case: OIC PC P231789 HARRIS

Custody Sergeant P229889 JONES

Male Constable 1 – who originally checked me and allowed me to continue

Male Constable 2 – who originally checked me and allowed me to continue

Male Detective 1 – Interviewer

Male Detective 2 - Interviewer

Constable Rebecca Gordon – authorising the 2 later charges

~~No~~

Please provide the rank, number, first name(s) and/or last name(s) of the officer(s) below.

Arresting Officer: Name Unknown

Officer in the Case (OIC) PC P231789 HARRIS

Custody Sergeant P229889 JONES

Male Constable 1 – who originally checked me and allowed me to continue

Male Constable 2 – who originally checked me and allowed me to continue

Male Detective 1 – Interviewer

Male Detective 2 - Interviewer

Constable Rebecca Gordon – authorising the 2 later charges

Section 5 – Witnesses to the incident

Please note: when you are contacted about your complaint you will have the opportunity to describe any further witnesses.

Were there any witnesses?

Yes X

Unfortunately the only witnesses were police officers as listed above as I was alone and no members of the public were nearby. (Hence my claim I was not enticing anyone to commit an offence as there was no-one to entice)

I understand that as the only identifiable witnesses are all police officers, all witnesses could be construed in legal terms as 'hostile witnesses' however, I have faith that most will tell the truth and support what I have stated, especially the two officers who originally checked me and allowed me to continue.

~~No~~

Do you know the contact/identification details of any witnesses?

~~Yes~~

No X

Please provide the first name(s), last name(s) and any contact details for the witness(es) below:

Section 6 – Additional information

Would you like to give us any other information? Please add any other relevant information below: YES

IMPORTANT additional FACTS I wish to be considered:

1. Following interview and processing, I was detained in a cell. During the early hours of 12th January 202, I was visited in the cell by a police officer who read out two bail conditions that I had to agree to before they would consider releasing me.

Condition 1: I was to reside solely at my sister's house

Condition 2: I was prohibited from entering the area bound by the M25 motorway as a perimeter i.e. all of London.

I refused to accept these conditions of bail and the officer left me in the cell.

A while later the officer returned and stated that they had changed their minds and removed all bail conditions so I was free to leave on bail with no conditions attached at all.

This again shows that this was an operation to inconvenience me rather than a lawful procedure; if I was such a risk as the police were claiming then why did they immediately remove any bail conditions and release me unconditionally?

2. The evidence I held in my possession and that which I was attempting to report as a crime was that many thousands of people have possibly been injured or died from the so called vaccine (Which the Vaccine companies term as the Primary Purpose: Treatment)

My evidence shows that Commissioner Cressida Dick and her police officers could have prevented many of these deaths and injuries by carrying out an investigation into my data and a proper risk assessment. Commissioner Cressida Dick knew of the high risks involved and my crime report holds very credible evidence the injections are harmful. Despite this my evidence has not been taken despite the police's obligation to record crimes reported to them as previously described. Furthermore, Commissioner Cressida Dick allowed or required her officers to take the same 'vaccine' without any risk assessment of the situation, which is again part of my formal complaint which the police continually refuse to acknowledge.

3. Additional supporting evidence that my intentions were to lawfully report crimes and that I had previously attempted to but was ignored is the fact that Superintendent Clair CLARKE and deputy police commissioner Neil BASU have previously been provided with similar evidence but again nothing was done that I am aware of. This is proof my previous repeated attempts to report crime, as is my duty as a responsible member of society, which I was merely following up to ensure appropriate consideration was given to the evidence on the day I was arrested.

Section 7 – Confirmation that information provided is correct

I confirm the information I have provided is truthful and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Name: Geza Tarjanyi

Date: 20th July 2021

Section 8 – Equality of service monitoring form

We want to make sure everyone has an equal chance to use and benefit from our services. To help us ensure we continue to do this, it would help us if you could answer the following questions. If you prefer, you do not have to answer these questions as it will not affect your complaint in any way.

The information provided in this form will be used by public bodies involved in the police complaints system, including the police and IOPC. You can find out how your personal information will be used in the privacy notices found on the website of each organisation.

Please mark all the answers that apply with a 'X'.

Sex:

Other (please state below)

Female

Male **X**

Intersex

Other (please give details)

Do you have a physical or mental impairment that has a substantially adverse and long-term effect on your ability to carry out normal day-to-day activities?

Is your gender different to the gender you were assigned at birth?

Yes

No **X**

Yes

Don't know

No **X**

Don't know

If you have answered 'yes' to the question above, which option below describes your disability?

If yes, please state the gender you were assigned at birth:

Hearing

Learning difficulty

Long standing illness or health condition

Mental health condition

Mobility or physical impairment

Sight

Other (please state below)

Sexual orientation:

Heterosexual/ straight

Bisexual

Gay/lesbian

Not known

Ethnicity:

White: English/Welsh/Scottish/Northern Irish/British **X**

White: Irish

White: Gypsy, Traveller or Irish Traveller

White: any other white background (please describe)

Mixed: white and black Caribbean

Mixed: white and black African

Mixed: white and Asian

Mixed: any other mixed/multiple ethnic background (please describe)

Asian: Indian

Asian: Pakistani

Asian: Bangladeshi

Asian: Chinese

Asian: any other Asian background (please describe)

Black: African

Black: Caribbean

Black: any other black/African/Caribbean background (please describe)

Other: Arab

Not known

Other: any other ethnic group (please describe)

Religious belief/faith:

No religion

Christian (including Church of England, Catholic, Protestant and all other Christian denominations)

Buddhist

Hindu

Jewish

Muslim

Sikh

Any other religion (please describe)

Not known

Pregnancy and maternity:

Pregnant

On maternity/paternity/adoption leave

Returning from maternity/paternity/adoption leave

None of the above

Section 9 – Feedback

We are constantly striving to improve our service. Please tell us if you have any feedback below.

Not able to give feedback yet as this is my initial report to the IOPC but happy to provide feedback after the outcome.

Would you be happy to be contacted about your experience of the police complaints system?

Yes **X**

No

Thank you for the information you have provided.

End of form.

This form should be completed and sent to the appropriate police force/other organisation. Alternatively you can send the form to the address below and we will pass it on to the appropriate force.

Email: enquiries@policeconduct.gov.uk

Mail: IOPC, PO BOX 473, Sale M33 0BW